3. Stereoselective Syntheses of (\pm) -epi- β -Santalene and (\pm) -epi- β -Santalol

by Roger L. Snowden, Philippe Sonnay and Günther Ohloff Firmenich SA, Research Laboratories, CH-1211 Geneva 8

(7.XI.80)

Summary

Stereoselective syntheses of (\pm) -epi- β -santalene (1) and (\pm) -epi- β -santalol (2), minor constituents of East Indian sandalwood oil, are described. The starting material for both syntheses is the tricyclic hemiacetal 4, readily accessible in two steps from norbornene.

Introduction. - East Indian sandalwood oil is used in large quantities by the perfume industry and over the past few years the increasing scarcity of this oil coupled with rising prices have made its odourific components attractive targets for synthesis [1a-d]. The major components, $(+)-\alpha$ -santalol and $(-)-\beta$ -santalol [2], are

OH
$$(+)-a\text{-santalol}$$

$$(-)-\beta\text{-santalol}$$

considered to be responsible for the main odour character but, as yet, no economical process has been developed for their large-scale manufacture. Attention has thus been turned towards the synthesis of the minor components of sandalwood oil, several of which, structurally related to a- and β -santalol, also possess interesting olfactory properties [3]. We now report efficient syntheses of the racemates of two of these minor components, (+)-epi- β -santalene (1)¹) and (+)-epi- β -santalol (2)²).

Synthetic strategy (Scheme 1). – Retrosynthetic analysis indicates that the tricyclic hemiacetal 4, which allows the possibility of introducing functionality at $C(4)^3$), is a logical intermediate for the stereoselective synthesis of both (\pm) -epi- β -santalene (1) and (\pm) -epi- β -santalol (2). For the former, a Wittig reaction can

¹⁾ For previous syntheses, see [1d] [4] and references cited therein.

Recently isolated from East Indian sandalwood oil [5]; during the preparation of our manuscript the first stereoselective synthesis was published [1d].

³⁾ For previous examples of cyclic hemiacetals being used as bifunctional C5-synthons see [4] [6].

directly introduce in 4 the required isopropylidene group to give bicyclic alcohol 5 which may then be transformed to 1. For the latter, the (Z)-5-hydroxy-4-methyl-3-pentenyl side chain may be introduced *via* bicyclic aldehyde 9 which would be derived from 4 *via* bicyclic diol 11.

Scheme 1 (retrosynthetic)

Preparation of tricyclic hemiacetal 4 (Scheme 2). The stereospecific hetero Diels-Alder reaction of an excess of norbornene with acrolein⁴), followed by the acid-catalyzed addition of water to the intermediate diexotricyclic enol ether 3 afforded

Scheme 2

crystalline hemiacetal 4 in 44% overall yield. Despite this only moderate yield the commercial availability and cheapness of the starting materials allow the preparation of 4 on a kilogram scale.

Preparation of (\pm) -epi- β -santalene (1) (Scheme 3). Treatment of the hemiacetal 4 with isopropylidenetriphenylphosphorane in toluene, in the presence of an excess of t-BuOK afforded the bicyclic alcohol 5 in excellent yield (96%). CrO₃-oxidation under basic conditions gave ketone 6 (91%) whose enolate was stereoselectively methylated [8] on the less hindered exo face of the molecule to give ketone 7 in 97% yield. Finally, methylidenation of the hindered keto-group afforded 1 as a colourless oil in 75% yield. Structural confirmation was obtained by analytical and spectral comparison with a sample of a 60:40 mixture of natural β -santalene and epi- β -santalene isolated from the volatile fraction of sandalwood oil. Conclusive proof was provided by the conversion of 1 to (\pm)-epi- β -santalol (2) (see Scheme 3).

Preparation of bicyclic aldehyde 9 (Scheme 3). For the preparation of bicyclic aldehyde 9 [1 d] two synthetic approaches were investigated: a) Route A. Selective hydroxylation of the trisubstituted double bond of 1 followed by oxidative cleavage of the intermediate diol 8 furnished 9 in 65% yield (from 1); b) Route B. Hemiacetal 4 was smoothly converted to the diol 11 (94%) and the differentiation between the primary and secondary alcohol functions was achieved by the slow addition of t-butyldimethylchlorosilane to a solution of 11 and imidazole in DMF, furnishing the hydroxysilyl ether 12 in high yield (85%). Oxidation under buffered conditions gave ketone 13 (83%) whose enolate was exo-methylated to afford ketone 14 in 80%

⁴⁾ For a review of the *Diels-Alder* reactions of a, β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds see [7].

yield. Methylidenation, mild acidic cleavage of the resulting silyl ether 15 and oxidation of the intermediate alcohol 16 finally gave 9 in 72% overall yield (from 14).

- a) All compounds exhibit spectral data (IR., ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR., MS.) in accordance with their structures
- b) The intermediate diol 8, Was isolated as a diastereoisomeric mixture, see Experimental Part.
- c) PCC= Pyridinium chlorochromate.

Preparation of (\pm) -epi- β -santalol (2) (Scheme 3). Treatment of a solution of ethylidenetriphenylphosphorane in THF with 9, followed by sequential addition of butyllithium at -78° and excess of formaldehyde at 0-25° [9] afforded, after chromatography and distillation, 2 in 47% yield. Structural confirmation, already indicated by the analytical and spectral data and by the manner of its preparation, was provided by comparison of the 13 C-NMR. data of the acetate of 2 (2a), with those of the acetate derived from natural epi- β -santalol [5].

The total stereoselectivity of the formation of 2 from 9 was demonstrated by independently preparing a 85:15 mixture of (\pm) -epi-(E)- β -santalol (10)⁵) and (\pm) -epi- β -santalol (2) starting from 9 (61% overall yield). Wittig reaction of 9 [10] with sodium triethylphosphonopropionate in THF was followed by a regiospecific reduction of the ester group (AlH₃/ether) [11] (cf. Scheme 3). Analytical and spectral (GC. and ¹³C-NMR.) comparison was then made between the 85:15 mixture of the E- and Z-acetates 10a and 2a and the previously prepared 2a.

In conclusion, the olfactory properties of (\pm) -epi- β -santalol (2) and (\pm) -epi-(E)- β -santalol (10) were studied. Contrary to the pronounced odour of (-)- β -santalol, which is part of the odoriferous principle of sandalwood oil (Santalum album L.), the faint woody odour of 2 only vaguely recalls the essential oil; 10 is hardly perceptible.

Experimental Part

General. - All solvents were dried and distilled before use. Each reaction was followed to completion by TLC. and GC. analysis. Work-up refers to: successive washing of the combined organic phase with H_2O , sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution and sat. aq. NaCl-solution; drying of the organic phase (anhydrous Na₂SO₄) and removal of the solvent i.V. Thin layer chromatography (TLC., cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate 7:3 unless otherwise stated) was performed using *Merck* 0.25 mm (60F254) silica gel plates. Preparative chromatography was carried out on silica gel ((*Merck*) 0.06–0.20 mm). Gas chromatography (GC.): 4 atm N₂; glass columns (3 mm ID 3 m), stationary phases on chromosorb W (acid washed, 80–100 mesh). Column 1: 5% Carbowax; column 2: 5% SE 30; retention time in min. Melting points (m.p.) are not corrected. IR. spectra (*Perkin-Elmer* A21 spectrometer): liquid film unless specified otherwise, \bar{v}_{max} in cm⁻¹. NMR. spectra: in CDCl₃, internal standard tetramethylsilane (δ =0 ppm); abbreviations: s= singlet, d= doublet, t= triplet, qa= quadruplet, m= multiplet, br. = broad; J= spin-spin coupling constant (Hz). ¹H-NMR, at 60 MHz (*Varian* A-60) or 360 MHz (*Bruker* WH 360). ¹³C-NMR. at 90.5 MHz. Mass spectra (MS.) (*Atlas* CH 4; electron energy 70 eV) signals are given in m/z (rel. %).

3-Oxatricyclo [6.2.1.0^{2.7}] undec-4-ene (diexo) (3). Norbornene (56.4 g, 0.6 mol), acrolein (16.8 g, 0.3 mol) and hydroquinone (0.5 g) were introduced, under N_2 , into an autoclave which was then heated at 190° during 15 h. The autoclave was cooled and the contents were poured into 250 ml of sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution. Extraction (ether), work-up and distillation gave 3 as a colourless oil (21.8 g, 48%), b.p. $102-108^\circ/15$ Torr. – IR.: 3100, 1725, 1645, 1475, 1455, 1355. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.90-2.50 (11 H); 3.70 (d, J=5, 1 H); 5.00 (m, 1 H); 6.4 ($d\times d$, J=2 and 5.5, 1 H). – MS.: 150 (36, $C_{10}H_{14}O^+$), 122 (20), 121 (18), 106 (11), 94 (12), 66 (100).

3-Oxatricyclo $[6.2.1.0^{2.7}]$ undecan-4-ol (diexo) (4). A solution of 3 (14.5 g, 0.096 mol) in 200 ml of H₂O, 600 ml of acetone and conc. sulfuric acid (4.2 g, 0.042 mol) was stirred at 50° during 2 h. The solution was cooled and extracted (ether). Work-up and recrystallisation from petroleum ether (b.p. 30-50°) gave 4 as a white crystalline solid (12.2 g, 91%), m.p. 55-56°. - 1R.: 3410, 1449, 1230, 1200, 1015, 845. - ¹H-NMR.: 0.90-2.30 (13 H); 3.86 (d, J=5, 1 H); 4.86 (br. d, J=5, 1 H), (D₂O exchange removes this signal); 5.17 (m, 2 H). - MS.: 168 (1.4, C₁₀H₁₆O₂+), 150 (22), 140 (16), 122 (63), 107 (100), 94 (77).

 $3 \exp(4-Methyl-3-pentenyl)$ -bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2exo-ol (5). Potassium t-butoxide (21 g, 0.18 mol) was added portionwise to a stirred slurry of isopropyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (26 g, 60 mmol) in 300 ml of toluene at 20° under N₂. The mixture was stirred at RT. during 15 h (deep-red colour) and then a solution of 4 (8.4 g, 50 mmol) in 50 ml of toluene was added dropwise during 30 min. After 20 h at RT. the orange reaction mixture was poured into 200 ml of cold aq. ln HCl. Basification with solid NaHCO₃, extraction (ether) and work-up gave the crude product to which was added 200 ml of petroleum ether (b.p. 30-50°). Filtration through *Celite*, removal of solvent by distillation, column

⁵⁾ For the only previous stereoselective synthesis of 10, see [1d].

chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3) and fractional distillation afforded 5 as a colourless oil (9.3 g, 96%), b.p. 74-80°/0.05 Torr. – TLC.: Rf 0.49. – GC. (column 1, 175°): 12.0. – IR.: 3390, 2941, 1449, 1115, 1056, 1008. – 1 H-NMR.: 0.90-2.30 (20 H); 3.72 (*d*, J=6, 1 H); 5.14 (*m*, 1 H). – MS.: 194 (0, $C_{13}H_{22}O^{+}$), 176 (27), 133 (17), 109 (24), 93 (27), 82 (100), 67 (69).

3exo-(4-Methyl-3-pentenyl)-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (6). CrO₃ (20.6 g, 0.206 mol) was added cautiously, under N₂, to stirred pyridine (500 ml) at 10-15° [12]. The mixture was stirred during 1 h at RT. to give a beige slurry. A solution of 5 (10 g, 51.5 mmol) in 150 ml of pyridine was added dropwise during 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT. during 15 h and was then poured into a mixture of conc. sulfuric acid (250 g) and ice (1 kg). Filtration through Celite (after which the Celite was washed with H₂O and ether) and saturation of the filtrate with solid NaCl was followed by extraction (ether), work-up and fractional distillation to give 6 as a sweet-smelling colourless oil (9 g, 91%), b.p. 65-70°/0.05 Torr. - TLC.: Rf 0.52. - GC. (column 1, 175°): 8.0. - IR.: 2985, 1739, 1449, 1376, 1171, 1075. - ¹H-NMR.: 1.20-2.60 (19 H); 5.09 (m, 1 H). - MS.: 192 (4, C₁₃H₂₀O⁺), 110 (13), 95 (5), 82 (100), 67 (15), 41 (29).

3exo-Methyl-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptan-2-one (7). A solution of 6 (4.4 g, 23 mmol) in 5 ml of THF was added dropwise to a stirred slurry of sodium amide (1.4 g, 35.8 mmol) in 40 ml of THF at RT. under N_2 [8]. The reaction was boiled under reflux during 2 h under a stream of N_2 (to remove the NH₃ formed), and then a solution of methyl iodide (31 g, 0.218 mol) in 30 ml of THF was added. Reflux was continued for a further 48 h and the reaction mixture was cooled. Removal of the solvent by distillation gave a residue which was taken up into 200 ml of ether and filtered through Celite. Work-up and fractional distillation afforded 7 as a pale yellow oil (4.3 g, 97%), b.p. 70-75°/0.1 Torr (lit. [8]: 95°/0.8 Torr). – TLC.: Rf 0.50. – GC. (column 1, 175°): 10.0. – 1R.: 2941, 1739, 1449, 1370, 1087, 1055. – ¹H-NMR:: 1.03 (s, 3 H); 1.15-2.60 (18 H); 5.09 (m, 1 H). – MS.: 206 (4, $C_{14}H_{22}O^+$), 124 (52), 109 (9), 96 (100), 69 (38), 55 (15), 41 (41).

2exo-Methyl-2-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptane (epi- β -santalene, 1). A solution of TiCl₄ (4 g, 21 mmol) in 21 ml of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise to a stirred slurry of zinc dust (5.7 g, 87.2 mmol) and CH₂Br₂ (5.1 g, 29.3 mmol) in 100 ml of THF at RT. under N₂ [13]. After 30 min a solution of 7 (4 g, 19.4 mmol) in 20 ml of THF was added dropwise, during 10 min, and the mixture was stirred at RT. for 48 h. Filtration through Celite, acidification with 1 n HCl, extraction (ether), work-up, column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:3) and distillation gave 1 as a colourless oil (2.95 g, 75%), b.p. 50-55°/0.05 Torr (lit. [1d]: $105-110^\circ$ /5 Torr). – TLC.: Rf 0.73. – GC. (column 2, 150°): 9.8. – IR.: 2941, 1661, 1449, 1376, 1103, 876. – ¹H-NMR.: 1.00 (s, 3 H); 1.15–2.10 (11 H); 2.66 (m, 1 H); 4.47 and 4.70 (2s, 2 H); 5.13 (m, 1 H). – MS.: 204 (4, C₁₅H₂₄+), 122 (44), 94 (100), 79 (22), 69 (18), 55 (16), 41 (39). – GC.-coinjection with a sample of a 60:40 mixture of natural β-santalene (GC. (column 2, 150°): 10.3) and epi-β-santalene confirmed the structure.

2-Methyl-5-(2exo-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)pentane-2, 3-diol (8). A solution of 1 (10 g, 49 mmol) was added to a solution of N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide (NMO) (7.4 g, 55 mmol) in 50 ml of t-BuOH containing osmium tetroxide [14] (100 mg, 0.39 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at RT. during 8 h. After addition of a solution of 1.5 g of NaHSO₃ in 10 ml of H₂O the mixture was filtered through Celite. Removal of the solvent by distillation gave a residue which was continuously extracted (ether) after saturation of the aq. phase with sat. aq. NaCl-solution. Work-up and distillation afforded 8 as a viscous oil (8.9 g, 76%), b.p. 115-120°/0.5 Torr. - TLC.: Rf 0.10. - IR. (in CDCl₃): 3448, 2985, 1661, 1466, 1370, 1160. - ¹H-NMR.: 1.0 (s, 3 H); 1.16 and 1.22 (2s, 6 H); 1.10-2.10 (11 H); 2.70 (br. s, 2 H; D₂O exchange removes this signal); 2.70 (m, 1 H); 3.30 (m, 1 H); 4.47 and 4.72 (2s, 2 H).

3-(2exo-Methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)propanal (9). A solution of **8** (8.8 g, 37 mmol) in 80 ml of MeOH was added dropwise to a stirred solution of NaIO₄ (12 g, 56 mmol) in 600 ml of MeOH/ H_2O 1:1 at RT. After 2 h the mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by distillation. Extraction (ether) of the residue, work-up (including washing the organic phase with 2n NaOH) and distillation afforded **9** as a colourless oil (5.6 g, 85%), b.p. 45-50°/0.05 Torr (lit. [1d]: 75-85°/1 Torr). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.68. – GC. (column 1, 150°): 9.4. – IR.: 3100, 2740, 1730, 1665, 1415, 1370, 1105. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.97 (s, 3 H); 1.10-2.80 (12 H); 4.50 and 4.75 (2s, 2 H); 9.78 (m, 1 H). – ¹³C-NMR.: 202.1d, 165.5s, 100.2t, 46.8d, 45.2d, 44.3s, 40.4t, 37.0t, 30.8t, 29.0t, 25.3qa, 23.7t. – MS.: 178 (5, $C_{12}H_{18}O^+$), 160 (20), 145 (24), 131 (21), 91 (100).

(Z)-2-Methyl-5-(2exo-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)-2-penten-1-ol (epi- β -santalol, **2**). A solution of butyllithium in hexane (21 ml, 27 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of ethyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (11.3 g, 27 mmol) in 220 ml of THF at 0° under N₂ [9]. The resultant red solution was cooled to -76° and a solution of **9** (4.8 g, 27 mmol) in 25 ml of THF was added dropwise, during 15 min, to give an orange colour. After 15 min at -78° a solution of butyllithium in hexane (21 ml, 27 mmol) was added and the mixture was allowed to attain 0° during 3 h. Dry paraformaldehyde ((CH₂O)_n, 5 g, 0.167 mol) was added in one portion to the deep-red homogeneous solution. After 20 h at RT. the mixture was poured into 10 ml of sat. aq. NH₄Cl-solution. Extraction (CH₂Cl₂), work-up, column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂) and distillation gave **2** as a colourless oil (2.8 g, 47%), b.p. 90-95°/0.05 Torr (lit. [1d]: 110-120°/0.3 Torr). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.27. – GC. (column 1, 200°): 15.0. – IR.: 3300, 3100, 1665, 1380, 1000, 872. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.97 (s, 3 H); 0.80-2.30 (11 H); 1.40 (br. s, 3 H); 2.60 (m, 1 H); 4.10 (2 H); 4.40 and 4.65 (2s, 2 H); 5.27 (1 H). – ¹³C-NMR.: 166.6s, 134.0s, 128.9d, 99.4t, 61.6t, 46.8d, 45.4d, 45.0s, 39.5t, 37.0t, 29.1t, 25.3qa, 23.8t, 23.8t, 21.2qa. – MS.: 220 (1, C₁₅H₂₄O⁺), 202 (10), 161 (12), 145 (11), 134 (17), 121 (61), 94 (100).

(Z)-2-Methyl-5-(2exo-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)-2-pentenyl acetate (2a). A solution of 2 (220 mg, 1 mmol) and Ac₂O (122 mg, 1.2 mmol) in 1 ml of pyridine was stirred at RT. during 5 h. The mixture was then poured into 20 ml of 1n HCl and extracted (ether). Work-up and distillation (130-140° (bath)/0.1 Torr) gave 2a (240 mg, 92%) as a colourless oil. – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.76. – GC. (column 1, 200°): 7.3. – 1R.: 3070, 1725, 1362, 1220, 1020, 880. – 1 H-NMR.: 1.00 (s, 3 H); 1.10-2.20 (15 H); 2.67 (m, 1 H); 4.43 and 4.70 (2s, 2 H); 4.58 (s, 2 H); 5.40 (m, 1 H). – 13 C-NMR.: 170.9s, 166.5s, 131.3d, 129.6s, 99.6t, 63.2t, 46.9d, 45.4d, 45.0s, 39.3t, 37.2t, 29.2t, 25.3qa, 23.9t, 23.9t, 21.6qa, 20.9qa. – MS.: 262 (0.6, $C_{17}H_{26}O_{2}^{+}$), 220 (6), 205 (42), 187 (13), 134 (74), 122 (100).

(E)-2-Methyl-5-(2exo-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)-2-penten-1-ol (epi-(E)- β -santalol, 10). Triethylphosphonopropionate (12 g, 50 mmol), was added dropwise, during 5 min, to a stirred slurry of NaH (1.2 g, 50 mmol) in 180 ml of THF at RT. under N₂ [10]. After 45 min at RT. a solution of 9 (7 g, 39.9 mmol) in 20 ml of THF was added dropwise, during 15 min, and the reaction was stirred for a further 30 min at RT. The mixture was poured into 250 ml of sat. aq. NH₄Cl-solution, extracted (ether) and distilled to give a colourless oil (6.9 g), b.p. 95-105°/0.05 Torr, a 85:15 mixture of (E)- and (Z)-ethyl 2-methyl-5-(2exo-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-yl)-2-pentenoate (A). These two double bond isomers were separated (on a mg scale) by prep. GC. and characterised.

(E)-Isomer of A: GC. (column 1, 200°): 7.1. – IR.: 1710, 1660, 1370, 1212, 1140, 873. – ¹H-NMR.: 1.02 (s, 3 H); 1.32 (t, J=7, 3 H); 1.10–2.80 (15 H); 4.20 (qa, J=7, 2 H); 4.47 and 4.70 (2 s, 2 H); 5.90 (m, 1 H).

(Z)-Isomer of A: GC. (column 1, 200°): 3.9. – IR.: 1710, 1663, 1455, 1370, 1025, 873. – 1 H-NMR.: 1.04 (s, 3 H); 1.28 (t, J = 7, 3 H); 1.10–2.50 (14 H); 2.70 (m, 1 H); 4.18 (qa, J = 7, 2 H); 4.48 and 4.72 (2 s, 2 H); 6.76 (m, 1 H).

Solid powdered AlCl₃ (2.2 g, 16.5 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred slurry of LiAlH₄ (1.9 g, 50 mmol) in 200 ml of ether under N₂ at 0° [11]. After 30 min at 0° a solution of the foregoing mixture of esters (6.5 g, 24.8 mmol) in 20 ml of ether was added dropwise, during 15 min, and the mixture was stirred for a further 30 min at 0°. Successive cautious addition of 2 ml of H₂O, 2 ml of 4n NaOH and 6 ml of H₂O followed by filtration through *Celite*, removal of the solvent and distillation afforded 106) as a colourless oil (5 g, 61% from 10), b.p. 95–105°/0.05 Torr (lit. [1d]: $120-130^{\circ}/0.3$ Torr). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.26. – GC. (column 1, 200°): 17.8. – IR.: 3330, 1660, 1370, 1102, 1010, 873. – ¹H-NMR.: 1.01 (s, 3 H); 1.10–2.30 (15 H); 2.69 (m, 1 H); 3.99 (br. s, 2 H); 4.43 and 4.72 (2 s, 2 H); 5.42 (m, 1 H). – ¹³C-NMR.: 166.6s, 134.4s, 126.7d, 99.4t, 68.8t, 46.9d, 45.4d, 45.0s, 38.9t, 37.2t, 29.2t, 25.3qa, 23.8t, 23.8t, 13.7qa. – MS.: $220(1, C_{15}H_{24}O^{+})$, 202(5), 161(6), 122(37), 107(15), 94(100).

(E)-2-Methyl-5-(2exo-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)-2-pentenyl acetate (10a). A solution of 10 (1.1 g, 5 mmol) and Ac₂O (612 mg, 6 mmol) in 10 ml of pyridine was stirred at RT. during 5 h. The mixture was then poured into 200 ml of 1n H₂SO₄ and extracted (ether). Work-up and distillation gave 10a as a colourless oil (1.25 g, 95%), b.p. 95-100°/0.05 Torr. – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.75. – GC. (column 1, 200°): 9.4. – IR.: 3075, 1735, 1660, 1450, 1235, 880. – ¹H-NMR.: 1.01 (s, 3 H); 1.10-2.20 (14 H); 2.05 (s, 3 H); 2.67 (m, 1 H); 4.44 (s, 2 H); 4.44 and 4.70 (2 s, 2 H); 5.47 (m, 1 H). – ¹³C-NMR.: 170.5s, 166.2s, 130.0d, 129.7s, 99.6t, 70.1t, 46.8d, 45.4d, 44.8s, 38.5t, 37.0t, 29.1t.

⁶⁾ Contains ca. 15% of the (Z)-isomer.

25.2qa, 23.8t, 23.8t, 20.8qa, 13.8qa. - MS.: 262 (0, $C_{17}H_{26}O_2^+$), 205 (8), 170 (42), 134 (13), 126 (26), 113 (42), 94 (100).

3exo-(3-Hydroxypropyl)-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptan-2exo-ol (11). A solution of 4 (1.68 g, 10 mmol) in 50 ml of ether was added dropwise, during 20 min, to a stirred slurry of LiAlH₄ (380 mg, 10 mmol) in 150 ml of ether at 0° under N_2 . After 1 h the mixture was poured onto ice, acidified to pH 2 (1 N HCl) and extracted (ethyl acetate). Work-up and distillation (130-140° (bath)/0.05 Torr) afforded 11 as a colourless oil (1.6 g, 94%). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂/ethyl acetate 1:1): Rf 0.18. – GC. (column 1, 120°): 11.0. – IR.: 3400, 1450, 1290, 1200, 1050, 1020. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.90-2.20 (13 H); 2.80 (br. s, 2 H; D₂O exchange removes this signal); 3.65 (3 H). – MS.: 170 (0, $C_{10}H_{18}O_2^+$), 122 (18), 108 (31), 93 (47), 80 (100), 67 (97), 57 (61).

 $3 \exp(-(3-t-Butyldimethylsityloxypropyl)-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptan-2 \exp(-ol) (12)$. A solution of *t*-butyldimethylchlorosilane (1.51 g, 10 mmol) in 15 ml of DMF was added dropwise, during 30 min, to a stirred solution of 11 (1.53 g, 9 mmol) and imidazole (1.36 g, 20 mmol) in 50 ml of DMF at RT. under N₂ [15]. After 1 h the mixture was poured into 100 ml of sat. aq. NH₄Cl-solution. Extraction (ether), work-up, chromatography (CH₂Cl₂, then CH₂Cl₂/ethyl acetate 9:1) and distillation (120-130° (bath)/0.04 Torr) gave 12 as a colourless oil (2.18 g, 85%). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.15. – GC. (column 1, 210°): 5.6. – IR.: 3450, 1390, 1360, 1250, 1100, 960. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.10 (s, 6 H); 0.90 (s, 9 H); 1.00-2.20 (13 H); 1.90 (br. s, 1 H; D₂O exchange removes this signal); 3.70 (3 H). – MS.: 284 (0, C₁₆H₃2O₂Si⁺), 135 (89), 121 (18), 107 (43), 93 (81), 75 (100), 67 (98).

3exo-(3-1-Butyldimethylsilyloxypropyl)-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptan-2-one (13). A solution of 12 (1.99 g, 7 mmol) in 10 ml of CH_2Cl_2 was added rapidly to a stirred slurry of pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) (4.3 g, 20 mmol) in 350 ml of CH_2Cl_2 containing anhydrous NaOAc (2.52 g, 30 mmol). After 45 min the mixture was poured into ether (1000 ml). Filtration through *Celite* was followed by successive washing of the organic phase with 2n NaOH (3×), 2n HCl, sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution (2×) and sat. aq. NaCl-solution. Work-up, column chromatography (CH_2Cl_2 then CH_2Cl_2 /ethyl acetate 9:1) and distillation (130° (bath)/0.5 Torr) gave 13 (oil, 1.63 g, 83%). – TLC. (CH_2Cl_2): Rf 0.32. – GC. (column 1, 210°): 5.8. – IR.: 1740, 1390, 1360, 1250, 1105, 1005. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.05 (s, 6 H); 0.55 (s, 9 H); 1.20–2.00 and 2.35–2.60 (13 H); 3.60 (2 H). – MS.: 282 (0, $C_{16}H_{30}O_2Si^+$), 225 (100), 145 (30), 133 (15), 105 (28), 91 (94), 75 (78).

3endo-(3-t-Butyldimethylsilyloxypropyl)-3-methyl-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptan-2-one (14). A solution of 13 (2.2 g, 7.8 mmol) in 2 ml of THF was added dropwise to a stirred slurry of sodium amide (390 mg, 10 mmol) in 40 ml of THF at RT. under N_2 [8]. The mixture was then refluxed during $2\frac{1}{2}$ h, cooled to RT. and methyl iodide (8.52 g, 60 mmol) was added dropwise. The inixture was again refluxed during 72 h and poured into 40 ml of cold sat. aq. NH₄Cl-solution. Extraction (ether), work-up, column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂) and distillation (120–125° (bath)/0.4 Torr) afforded 14 (oil, 1.85 g, 80%). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.33. – GC. (column 1, 210°): 6.8. – IR.: 1745, 1470, 1360, 1250, 1090, 1005. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.06 (s, 6 H); 0.92 (s, 9 H); 1.05 (s, 3 H); 1.20–2.70 (12 H); 3.65 (2 H). – MS.: 296 (0, C₁₇H₃₂O₂Si⁺), 239 (13), 225 (17), 183 (100), 105 (29), 94 (55), 75 (70).

2endo-(3-t-Butyldimethylsilyloxypropyl)-2-methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]heptane (15). A solution of TiCl₄ (990 mg, 5.2 mmol) in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise to a slurry of zinc dust (1.36 g, 21.1 mmol) and CH₂Br₂ (1.2 g, 7.05 mmol) in 25 ml of THF at RT. under N₂ [14]. After 15 min a solution of 14 (1.4 g, 4.7 mmol) in 10 ml of THF was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred at RT. during 20 h. Filtration through Celite, addition of 50 ml of sat. aq. NH₄Cl-solution, extraction (ether), work-up, column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂) and distillation (150–160° (bath)/0.2 Torr) gave 15 (oil, 1.3 g, 93%). – TLC. (hexane): Rf 0.35. – 1R.: 1440, 1375, 1250, 1085, 940, 835. – ¹H-NMR.: 0.01 (s, 6 H); 0.83 (s, 9 H); 0.93 (s, 3 H); 1.00–2.05 (11 H); 2.60 (m, 1 H); 3.54 (m, 2 H); 4.40 and 4.65 (2 s, 2 H). – MS.: 294 (0, C₁₈H₃₄OSi⁺), 237 (100), 171 (18), 161 (58), 143 (61), 133 (12), 101 (21).

3-(2exo-Methyl-3-methylidene-bicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-yl)propan-1-ol (16). A solution of 15 (1.25 g, 4.2 mmol) in 25 ml of AcOH/THF/H₂O (3:1:1) was stirred at RT. during 20 h [15]. The mixture was poured into 100 ml of sat. aq. NaHCO₃-solution. Extraction (CH₂Cl₂), work-up, column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂) and distillation (120–130° (bath)/0.3 Torr) gave 16 (oil, 718 mg, 94%). – TLC. (CH₂Cl₂): Rf 0.23. – IR.: 3300, 3070, 1660, 1368, 1052, 872. – ¹H-NMR.: 1.00 (s, 3 H); 1.10–2.10 (11 H); 1.90 (br. s, 1 H; D₂O exchange removes this signal); 2.70 (br. s, 1 H); 3.65 (2 H); 4.46 and 4.70 (2 s, 2 H). – MS.: 180 (1.5, C₁₂H₂₀O⁺), 136 (15), 121 (100), 105 (23), 93 (92), 79 (58).

9. A solution of 16 (630 mg, 3.5 mmol) in 6 ml of CH_2CI_2 was rapidly added to a stirred slurry of PCC (1.2 g, 6 mmol) and anhydrous NaOAc (588 mg, 7 mmol) in 120 ml of CH_2CI_2 at RT. under N_2 [16]. After 2 h the mixture was poured into 300 ml of ether. Work-up as described for 13 and distillation (120–130° (bath)/0.2 Torr) afforded 9 (oil, 510 mg, 82%). This compound was identical in all respects with previously prepared material.

REFERENCES

- a) G. Buchbauer, Chem. Ztg. 100, 225 (1976);
 b) C.H. Heathcock in 'The Total Synthesis of Natural Products', Vol. 2, J. ApSimon, Ed., Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney-Toronto 1973, pp. 481-491;
 c) P.A. Christenson & B.J. Willis, J. Org. Chem. 44, 2012 (1979);
 d) iidem, ibid. 45, 3068 (1980).
- [2] E. Guenther, 'The Essential Oils', Vol. 5, D. van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London 1952, pp. 173-186; J.L. Simonsen & D. H. R. Barton, 'The Terpenes', Vol. 3, 2nd ed., University Press, Cambridge, England 1951, pp. 98, 178-188.
- [3] E. Demole, C. Demole & P. Enggist, Helv. 59, 737 (1976); E. Klein & W. Rojahn, 6th International Congress of Essential Oils, San Francisco, Calif., Sept. 8-12, 1974, Paper No. 163; H. C. Kretschmar & W.F. Erman (Procter & Gamble Co.), U.S. Pat. 3,662,008 (9.5.1972), Chem. Abstr. 77, 61 369 p. (1972); idem, Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 41.
- [4] P.A. Grieco & J.J. Reap, Synth. Commun. 5, 347 (1975).
- [5] E.-J. Brunke, F.J. Hammerschmidt & H. Struwe, Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 2405.
- [6] G. Ohloff, Ch. Vial, F. Näf & M. Pawlak, Helv. 60, 1161 (1977).
- [7] G. Desimoni & G. Tacconi, Chem. Rev. 75, 651 (1975).
- [8] E.J. Corey, R. Hartman & P.A. Vatakencherry, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 2611 (1962).
- [9] E.J. Corey & H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 226, 3523 (1970); E.J. Corey, J. I. Shulman & H. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 447; E.J. Corey, P. Ulrich & A. Venkateswarlu, ibid. 1977, 3231.
- [10] W. S. Wadsworth, Jr. & W. D. Emmons, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 1733 (1961).
- [11] E.J. Corey, H.A. Kirst & J.A. Katzenellenbogen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 6314 (1970).
- [12] G. I. Poos, G. E. Arth, R. E. Beyler & L. H. Sarett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 422 (1953).
- [13] K. Takai, Y. Hotta, K. Oshima & H. Nozaki, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 2417.
- [14] V. VanRheenen, R. C. Kelly & D. Y. Cha, Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1973.
- [15] E.J. Corey & A. Venkateswarlu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 6190 (1972).
- [16] E.J. Corey & J. W. Suggs, Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 2647.